

# Project Rating Form

Arterial Roadway Projects - Prescreen for Applicability		
Criterion	Measure	Points
Network of Interest	Yes/No - If "No", Send to Alternate Funding Source Evaluation	Pre-Rating
Bottleneck Elimination	Yes/No - If "Yes", Send Directly to Funding	Pre-Rating
Safety Improvement	Yes/No - If "Yes", Send Directly to Funding	Pre-Rating
Arterial Roadway Projects - Prescreen for Capacity		
Criterion	Measure	Points
Congestion Reduction	Project will reduce congestion	Mandatory
NEPA Compliance	No obvious negative impacts per RTP Environmental Justice, natural or cultural resources, or air quality that can not be addressed.	Mandatory
Plan Consistency	Consistent with adopted Regional Transportation Plan.	Mandatory
Alternates Considered	Access management/Raised medians	
	Bus Pullout(s)	
	Demand Management	
	Demand management and operational improvements to parallel facilities	
	Intersection improvements, including signalization	
	Other:	
If "No" to any of the above, one or more of these must be true:	Right-of-way not available and/or cannot be acquired at a reasonable cost.	
	Alternates have been implemented and roadway still congested.	
	Modeling shows that implementation of all feasible alternatives will not reduce congestion to an acceptable level; however TDM will continue and operational improvements will be implemented as part of the project.	
Will alternates accomplish desired congestion reduction? Yes/No	If "No", consider SOV Improvement	Pre-Rating

<b>Arterial Roadway Projects - Rating</b>		
<b>Criterion</b>	<b>Measure</b>	<b>Points</b>
System Continuity	2+ mode link	10
	Removes system gap	5
	Extends system	0
Project Readiness for Construction	Within one year	10
	Three years	5
	Four years or more	0
Bus Pullout(s)	Bus pullouts are included in project.	10
Current Level of Service - Peak Periods	F	20
	E	10
	D	5
	A/C	0
Current AADT	>60,000	15
	≥20,000 ≤60,000	10
	<20,000	5
Funding Participation by private and other governmental sources	>75 Percent	20
	>50 Percent	15
	>25 Percent	10
	<25 Percent and >\$100,000	5
Safety for all modes per mile	> 9 crashes per MVMT	5
	> 7 crashes per MVMT	4
	> 5 crashes per MVMT	3
	> 3 crashes per MVMT	2
	> 1 crashes per MVMT	1
<b>Arterial Roadway Projects</b>	<b>Maximum Available Score</b>	<b>90</b>
<b>Benefit / Cost</b>		
<b>Criterion</b>	<b>Measure</b>	<b>Points</b>
(Subtotal points divided by cost in millions)	Greater than 15	15
	Greater than 10	10
	Greater than 5	8
	Greater than 1	6
	Greater than 0.5	4
	Greater than 0.25	2
<b>Benefit / Cost</b>	<b>Maximum Available Score</b>	<b>15</b>
<b>Total Points</b>	<b>(Maximum 105)</b>	

<b>Limited Access Facility Projects - Prescreen for Applicability</b>		
<b>Criterion</b>	<b>Measure</b>	<b>Points</b>
Network of Interest	Yes/No - If "No", Send to Alternate Funding Source Evaluation	Pre-Rating
Bottleneck Elimination	Yes/No - If "Yes", Send Directly to Funding	Pre-Rating
Safety Improvement	Yes/No - If "Yes", Send Directly to Funding	Pre-Rating
<b>Limited Access Facility Projects - Prescreen for Capacity</b>		
<b>Criterion</b>	<b>Measure</b>	<b>Points</b>
Congestion Reduction	Project will reduce congestion	Mandatory
NEPA Compliance	No obvious negative impacts per RTP Environmental Justice, natural or cultural resources, or air quality that can not be addressed.	Mandatory
Plan Consistency	Consistent with adopted Regional Transportation Plan	Mandatory
Alternates Considered - Yes/No	Auxiliary Lane(s)	
	HOV lane	
	Demand Management	
	Demand management and operational improvements to parallel facilities	
	Other:	
If "No" to any of the above, one or more of these must be true:	Right-of-way not available and/or cannot be acquired at a reasonable cost.	
	Alternates have been implemented and roadway still congested.	
	Modeling shows that implementation of all feasible alternatives will not reduce congestion to an acceptable level; however TDM will continue and operational improvements will be implemented as part of the project.	
Will alternates accomplish desired congestion reduction? Yes/No	If "No", consider SOV Improvement	Pre-Rating

<b>Limited Access Facility Projects (Freeway, Expressway, Super Arterial, Junior Expressway)</b>		
<b>Criterion</b>	<b>Measure</b>	<b>Points</b>
System Continuity	2+ mode link	10
	Removes system gap	5
	Extends system	0
Project Readiness for Construction	Within one year	10
	Three years	5
	Four years or more	0
Current Level of Service - Peak Periods	F	30
	E	20
	D	10
	A/C	0
Current AADT	>100,000	25
	≥60,000 ≤100,000	20
	<60,000	15
Funding Participation by private and other governmental sources	>75 Percent	20
	>50 Percent	15
	>25 Percent	10
	<25 Percent and >\$100,000	5
Safety for all modes per mile	> 9 crashes per MVMT	5
	> 7 crashes per MVMT	4
	> 5 crashes per MVMT	3
	> 3 crashes per MVMT	2
	> 1 crashes per MVMT	1
<b>Limited Access Facility Projects</b>	<b>Maximum Available Score</b>	<b>100</b>
<b>Benefit / Cost</b>		
<b>Criterion</b>	<b>Measure</b>	<b>Points</b>
(Subtotal points divided by cost in millions)	Greater than 15	15
	Greater than 10	10
	Greater than 5	8
	Greater than 1	6
	Greater than 0.5	4
	Greater than 0.25	2
<b>Benefit / Cost</b>	<b>Maximum Available Score</b>	<b>15</b>
<b>Total Points</b>	<b>(Maximum 115)</b>	